



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,365	01/31/2001	Suman Khowala	8920-000005 3998	
7590 07/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.			MARX, IRENE	
P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			KHOWALA ET AL.			
		09/773,365				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Irene Marx	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ly 2004.				
-	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
*	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 3,7,9-11,13-16 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 3, 7, 9-11,13-16, 19-28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary				
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 09/773,365

Art Unit: 1651

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/1/04 has been entered.

Claims 3, 7, 9-11,13-16, 19-28 are being considered on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3, 7, 9-11,13-16, 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

No basis or support is found in the present specification for the "about" recitation with respect to the values of 2.236 and 140.60 units/ml in claim 3. In addition, this values are achieved with a specific strain of *T. clypeatus* IICB-411. It is unclear that the same results are obtainable with another strain. In addition, the value of 140.60 units/ml is only achieved with the specific strain IICB-411 when the carbon source is mannose. Therefore the recitations of carbon sources in claims 7 and 25 constitute new matter.

No basis or support is found in the present specification for the range of activity in claim 19 with respect to any one of tunicamycin, gluconolactone or l-deoxynojirimycin. The ranges in the table pertain to results particular to a specific compound in a specific concentration. The addition of "about" also raises issues of new matter.

Therefore, this material constitutes new matter and should be deleted.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,365

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant argues that support can be find in certain locations of the specification. However, original claim 3 pertains to strain *T. clypeatus* IICB-411. Therefore, this argument fails to persuade and confirms the Examiner's position.

The "about" term added to the values on the Table in claim 9 is not supported in the locations alleged. In addition, applicant has mixed and matched various values in the table and then extrapolated to any strain of *T. clypeatus*.

Therefore, the holding of lack of written description in the as-filed specification and claims is deemed proper and it is maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The invention appears to employ a novel strain of *T. clypeatus* to obtain a specific product. The written description of that strain and the method of isolating is insufficiently reproducible. Therefore, a deposit for patent purposes is required. The specification discloses at page 5 that *T. clypeatus* was deposited under Budapest Treaty conditions.

For compliance with the rule, it must be averred that deposited material has been accepted for deposit under the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the purpose of Patent Procedure (e.g. see 961 OG 21, 1977) and that all restrictions on the availability to the public of the material so deposited will be **irrevocably** removed upon the granting of a patent. MPEP 2403.

Additionally, the deposit must be referred to in the body of the specification and be identified by deposit (accession) number, date of deposit, name and address of the depository and the complete taxonomic

The deposit declaration is defective in that the term "irrevocably" is missing regarding the removal of deposit restrictions.

With respect to the other issues addressed in the declaration, it is noted that the data discussed is consonant with the data in the specification. It must be emphasized that the data of record all pertains to one strain, i.e., *T. clypeatus* IICB-411.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,365

Art Unit: 1651

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frence Marx
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651